Case 1:15-cv-07433-LAP
EXHIBIT 4

# BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 EAST LAS OLAS BOULEVARD . SUITE 1200 . FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301-224 EPH, 954.356.0011 . FAX 954.356.0022

Sigrid S. McCawley, Esq. E-mail: <a href="mailto:smccawley@bsfllp.com">smccawley@bsfllp.com</a>

May 26, 2016

## VIA E-MAIL

Laura A. Menninger, Esq.
Jeffrey Pagliuca, ESQ.
HADDON, MORGAN AND FOREMAN, P.C.
150 East 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203

Re: Giuffre v. Maxwell

Case No. 15-cv-07433-RWS

Dear Laura.

We are in receipt of your letter dated May 25, 2016, which is full of mischaracterizations. We have gone through the time and expense of properly noticing depositions and subpoening witnesses for testimony and you appear to be refusing to attend these depositions which are set to start on Tuesday, May 31, 2016, with the deposition of Juan Alessi which we noticed back on May 4, 2016. Yet you have unilaterally noticed depositions without clearing dates, all of which we have attended without complaint, including most recently the depositions of Ms. Miller, Sky Roberts and Dr. Olsen. We subpoenaed Mr. Rizzo back in April for a date a month later on May 13, 2016, which you waited until days before to inform us you couldn't attend, and we had to make arrangements to cancel that deposition and reschedule for June 10, 2016. See Exhibit 1.

You are clearly trying to obstruct our ability to take depositions within the discovery deadline provided by this Court, despite the fact that we met and conferred back on May 9, 2016, and sent you a deposition schedule in accordance with your stated availability and the proposed calendar on May 17, which you have now, on May 25, 2016, rejected despite knowing that we have gone through the time and expense of subpoening all of the witnesses in accordance with your stated availability.

This conduct is inherently unfair, and while you repeatedly claim you tried to discuss a deposition schedule in February 25, 2016, you were at the same time refusing to allow the Defendant to be deposed, and forcing us to obtain court intervention to get that key deposition which didn't take place until April 22, 2016, at which time we were finally able to learn what the Defendant was going to deny such that we could start determining what other witnesses were necessary to depose.

BOLES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

May 26, 2016 Page 2

You also wrongfully misrepresent that "I repeated by email to Ms. McCawley on February 20, 25, 29, March 8 and April 5. *You failed to respond to a single one of those requests.*" This is patently false and if you check your e-mail correspondence you will see that your February 12<sup>th</sup> correspondence regarding deposition scheduling was responded to by me on February 19 proposing deposition dates. *See* Composite Exhibit 2. On February 20, 2016 you said no depositions should take place until a protective order was in place again holding hostage our efforts to schedule depositions. *See* Composite Exhibit 2. On February 23, 2016, I again asked you about deposition scheduling to which you responded on February 25, 2016. *See* Composite Exhibit 2. On February 26, 2016 I wrote again to ask you about coordinating deposition dates to which you responded on February 29, 2016. On March 7, 2016 I wrote to you regarding the scheduling of Virginia Giuffre's deposition to which you responded to me on March 8, 2016 (not the reverse as the above suggests). On April 5, 2016 you simply sent the Notice of Virginia's depo which corresponds with my prior e-mail discussing scheduling her deposition. *See* Composite Exhibit 2. The record clearly speaks for itself and you cannot change it with your misrepresentations.

Please confirm you will be attending the depositions set for next week by 2:00 p.m. today as follows so we do not have witnesses showing up pursuant to subpoena that you are refusing to attend: Tuesday, May 31, 20-16 deposition of Juan Alessi, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, Wednesday, June 1, 2016 Maria Alessi, Fort Lauderdale, Florida and Friday, June 3, 2106 Dave Rodgers. Counsel for Dave Rodgers has confirmed he will be present at our office on Friday June 3, 2016, and we do not want to waste this counsel's time if you do not intend to appear. These deposition subpoenas and notices were served back on May 4, 2016 and we are just hearing from you on May 25, 2016 regarding your proposed refusal to attend.

Based on your objection to Alexandra Hall's deposition proceeding on Saturday, June 4, 2016, we have contacted her counsel in an effort to reschedule that deposition.

You also indicated during our meet and confer that you intended to depose James Austrich in Ocala Florida on June 2, 2016 but that is not reflected on your proposed calendar. Is your deposition proceeding on that date? Please confirm by 2:00 p.m. today so we can plan accordingly.

Finally, you mischaracterize the discovery relating to treating physicians and we have bent over backwards to accommodate all your requests in that regard.

Sincerely,

Sigrid S. McCawley

SSM/ep Enclosure

EXHIBIT 1

From:

Sigrid McCawley

Sent:

Thursday, May 05, 2016 7:01 PM

To:

Laura Menninger; Jeff Pagliuca; Brenda Rodriguez

Cc:

'brad@pathtojustice.com'; 'Paul Cassell (cassellp@law.utah.edu)'; Meredith Schultz;

Sigrid McCawley

Subject:

RE: Depositions

Hello Laura,

As is becoming clear, both sides are going to need to be coordinating a number of depositions. We have done our best to make ourselves available on the dates you have noticed. It would be helpful since I will need to coordinate with other witnesses counsel if you and Jeff could provide a list of 20 date options from mid-May until the end of June when you are available and then I can try to pull together a proposed schedule depending on witnesses availability. For example, I sent Mr. Rizzo's subpoena date almost a month ago and I am only now understanding that you have a conflict. If I start by going to the witnesses and then back to you – you may already have a conflict with a given date so that is probably not the most efficient course. If you can provide me with a grouping of date options that is likely the smoothest way to coordinate.

As to the upcoming depositions that you scheduled, I believe we can cover all the dates with the exception of the depo on May 19<sup>th</sup> in Ocala Florida. I know this scheduling stuff can be difficult but if we work together I think we should be able to accommodate everyone's schedules.

Thank you, Sigrid

Sigrid S. McCawley

Partner

#### BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

From: Laura Menninger [mailto:lmenninger@hmflaw.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2016 4:14 PM

To: Sigrid McCawley; Jeff Pagliuca; Brenda Rodriguez

Cc: 'brad@pathtojustice.com'; 'Paul Cassell (cassellp@law.utah.edu)'; Meredith Schultz

Subject: RE: Depositions

Sigrid -

Unfortunately, we are not available to be in Armonk next Friday for Mr. Rizzo's deposition. We will need to get a new date from you and his counsel. We did not realize that this was a firm date, as you mentioned it was tentative. Both Jeff and I scheduled other matters on that date in the interim. I apologize for any confusion.

I also notice that you intend to issue a number of other deposition subpoenas with deposition dates which will be difficult for us to attend given our other professional obligations and the necessary travel. I suggest that we have a conversation in which we firm up actual dates that are available for all counsel in June.

Thank you.



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, iVorgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

From: Sigrid McCawley [mailto:Smccawley@BSFLLP.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 04, 2016 6:23 AM

To: Laura Menninger; Jeff Pagliuca; Brenda Rodriguez

Cc: brad@pathtojustice.com; Paul Cassell (cassellp@law.utah.edu); Meredith Schultz; Sigrid McCawley

Subject: FW: Depositions

Laura ~ Last month, on April 11<sup>th</sup>, we served you with the subpoena for Mr. Rizzo for his deposition Friday May 13, 2016. See attached above and below. Brad informs me that you said yesterday after the deposition that you seemed unaware of the subpoena? You never raised any issue with the date we set below. Mr. Rizzo and his counsel are set to proceed on Friday May 13, 2016 at the BSF Armonk, New York office starting at 9:00 a.m. Armonk is located about 45 minutes outside of NY city and was requested by Mr. Rizzo as the location of the deposition.

Thanks, Sigrid

Sigrid S. McCawley Partner

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

## Case 1:15-cv-07433-LAP Document 173-4 Filed 05/27/16 Page 7 of 24

From: Sigrid McCawley

Sent: Monday, April 11, 2016 4:34 PM

To: lmenninger@hmflaw.com

Cc: Jeff Pagliuca (jpagliuca@hmflaw.com)

Subject: Depositions

Hello Laura,

Per your request we re-scheduled Allyson Chambers and Johanna Sjorberg's deposition to accommodate your need to travel on Monday. Attached are the revised deposition notices.

We have also attached a deposition notice for Rinaldo Rizzo. We have tentatively set the date for May 13, 2016 as a placeholder and the witness' counsel informs he is available that day so kindly let me know if that works with your schedule.

Thank you, Sigrid

Sigrid S. McCawley
Partner

## BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

The information controver in the energy of the control of the method of the control of the contr

# COMPOSITE EXHIBIT 2

From:

Laura Menninger < lmenninger@hmflaw.com>

Sent:

Saturday, February 20, 2016 2:54 PM

To:

Sigrid McCawley

Cc:

Brenda Rodriguez

Subject:

Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Attachments:

Proposed Protective Order.pdf

#### Sigrid -

I had not responded regarding the dates yet, in part, because you did not address the two issues I raised by email of February 12 (below). In particular, a protective order needs to be entered prior to Ms. Maxwell's deposition to address the same concerns you raised prior to your client's deposition in the Edwards/Cassell matter. I have taken the liberty of drafting a proposed protective order which I attach here. Please provide any comments you propose and we can get it filed and ruled upon by the Court.

Further, you did not provide your acknowledgement pursuant to Rule 30(d)(1) that this deposition, which likely will occur before Ms. Maxwell has filed an answer or counterclaims, will be her only deposition in this matter. If this is not your agreement, then we will need to seek a ruling from the Court.

Assuming that the attached protective order is entered in a timely fashion and your agreement that you will not be seeking a second deposition after Ms. Maxwell files an answer and counterclaim, then I can confirm the dates which will work for me and for her. Right now, of the dates you propose it appears that the March 25th date is best.

Regarding the depositions of Ms. Sjoberg and Chambers, I propose that we do those on consecutive days. Unfortunately, I am not available on March 23d as I have a sentencing in USDC Colorado that morning. I could propose March 24-25 or March 17-18. Also, given that these depositions are "more than 100 miles from the courthouse," I request your agreement to pay for my expenses for attendance at those depositions in Florida pursuant to Local R. 30.1.

Thank you, Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROH!BITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by

telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

From: Sigrid McCawley <smccawley@bsfllp.com> Date: Friday, February 19, 2016 at 9:25 AM To: Laura Menninger < <a href="mailto:lmenninger@hmflaw.com">lmenninger@hmflaw.com</a>>

Subject: RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Hello Laura,

I am in receipt of your email below. As you are aware, the Court already denied your client's effort to stay discovery pending her motion to dismiss. As you are also aware, discovery in this case closes in a few short months. We are proceeding with discovery and cannot agree to wait any further for Ms. Maxwell's deposition.

You indicated you had a conflict with the original date we selected for Ms. Maxwell's deposition so we have provided you with alternate dates for Ms. Maxwell's deposition and would appreciate a timely response. You also stated that you had a conflict with the original subpoena date for Johanna Sjorberg. In an effort to accommodate the conflicts in your schedule, we provided you a selection of alternate dates that work for Ms. Sjorberg and her counsel and we have not heard back from you. Once again the dates are provided below. Please respond in a timely manner so we can schedule the depositions.

Proposed Alternate Dates for Ms. Maxwell's Deposition to be taken in NY at BSF's Office - Feb. 29th, March 1st, March 14th or March 25th.

Proposed Alternate Dates for Ms. Sjorberg's Deposition to be taken in Fort Lauderdale at BSF's Office - March 16th or March 23rd

Presently Scheduled Date for Alyson Chambers Deposition to be taken in St. Augustine Florida – Warch 22nd. To my knowledge, you have not indicated that you have a conflict with this date.

Thank you, Sigrid

Sigrid S. McCawley

Partner

## BOIFS, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

From: Laura Menninger [mailto:lmenninger@hmflaw.com]

Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 1:21 PM

To: Sigrid McCawley

**Subject:** Re: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Sigrid -

I'm happy to schedule a deposition for my client on a mutually agreeable date. I will check with her on these dates you have proposed and get back to you shortly.

It would make some sense to me to not schedule this deposition until after the judge rules on the motion to dismiss. If the motion is granted, we will have wasted time and money. If the Judge denies the motion, I intend to file an answer with affirmatives defenses as well as counterclaims against your client.

Given that Rule 30(d) only permits one day of deposition lasting 7 hours, in the event you choose to depose Ms. Maxwell prior to the filing of our affirmative defenses and counterclaims, you will have exhausted that one chance to depose her, and I will not agree, and will vigorously contest, your ability to schedule a second deposition.

We should also discuss an agreed upon protective order for discovery in this case. If you have one you like, please forward it to me, or I can take the lead in drafting.

-Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, iMorgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

From: Sigrid McCawley [mailto:Smccawley@BSFLLP.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2016 12:41 PM

**To:** Laura Menninger **Cc:** Brenda Rodriguez

**Subject:** RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Hello Laura -I can offer the following alternate dates for Ms Maxwell's deposition -February 29th or March 1st or March 11th or March 14, 25 or 16.

I will get back to you on an alternate date for Ms. Sjorberg's deposition.

Thank you, Sigrid

## Case 1:15-cv-07433-LAP Document 173-4 Filed 05/27/16 Page 12 of 24

----Original Message----

From: Laura Menninger [lmenninger@hmflaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2016 06:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

To: Sigrid McCawley Cc: Brenda Rodriguez

Subject: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

#### Sigrid -

I have received your Notice of Deposition for Ms. Maxwell on March 2 as well as your subpoena for the deposition of Johanna Sjoberg on February 22. I am not available on either one of those dates due to pre-existing scheduling conflicts.

Local Rule 26.4(a) provides that "Counsel are expected to cooperate with each other, consistent with the interests of their clients, in all phases of the discovery process and to be courteous in their dealings with each other, including in matters relating to scheduling and timing of various discovery procedures."

I respectfully request that you send me other proposed dates that would work for you to take those two depositions so that I can clear them with my calendar and (as pertains to her deposition), my client's calendar. Presumably, coordination with Ms. Sjoberg's counsel also makes sense per Rule 45(d)(1).

-Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

The state of the s

The wilding is comed at the state of the state of the sound is the form, for the sea of the amount of the mode in the mode of the state of the state

# Case 1:15-cv-07433-LAP Document 173-4 Filed 05/27/16 Page 13 of 24

The mast subject the modern problem of the subject of the subject

From: Laura Menninger < Imenninger@hmflaw.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2016 2:55 PM

To:Sigrid McCawleyCc:Brenda Rodriguez

Subject: FW: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

#### Sigrid -

I would suggest that rather than repeated emails on the topic of scheduling the various depositions in this case, or the unilateral issuance of deposition notices and subpoenas, you and I have a phone conference wherein we discuss which depositions are going to be taken, where, and a plan for doing them in an orderly fashion that minimizes travel and inconvenience for counsel and the witnesses. As you are well aware from your own practice of law, attorneys have other clients, other court dates and other commitments to work around. The FRCP and Local Rules contemplate courtesy and cooperation among counsel in the scheduling and timing of discovery processes. This rule makes even more sense in a case such as this spanning various parts of the country where counsel must engage in lengthy travel and the attendant scheduling of flights, hotels and rental cars.

I am available for such a call today or tomorrow morning before 11 a.m. MST

To respond to your last email:

#### Defendant's Deposition

We have not and will not accept the date of March 25, or any other date, for Ms. Maxwell's deposition until a protective order is in place. My email of February 12<sup>th</sup> requested your position on a protective order and, receiving no response from you, I sent you a proposed one on February 20<sup>th</sup>. As of today's date, I still have not received your position or your comments to that protective order.

Secondly, although the rules permit a party to seek leave of the court for a second deposition should new factors or evidence become known, you are aware in advance of Ms. Maxwell's deposition that she has yet to file an Answer or Counterclaim and therefore cannot be "surprised" about the fact that she will do so when and if necessary. Should you choose to take her deposition before such a pleading has been filed, you are acknowledging your waiver of the right to take a second deposition <u>based on</u> the filing of the answer and counterclaims because this is a fact known to you in advance of the first deposition.

To reiterate, I have not accepted the date of March 25, 2016 for my client's deposition and will not agree to schedule such a deposition in the absence of a protective order and your acknowledgement of waiver as outlined above.

#### Other Witness Depositions

I have asked to schedule the depositions of the two Florida witnesses on consecutive days to minimize travel expenses for counsel and you have refused.

Additionally, it is completely unclear to me what, if any, relevance either of the two Florida witnesses have to the defamation action. My client has made no statements about either woman, nor has your client's voluminous press and pleadings included any indication that either woman could corroborate her claims. Finally, as noted in my email to you

yesterday, Ms. Chambers is not even among the hundred witnesses listed in your Rule 26 disclosures, nor her contact info nor her counsel's contact info.

Please provide an offer of proof as to the relevance <u>in this action</u> (as compared to say, any of your client's media, publicity and other litigations) of either Ms. Chambers or Ms. Sjoberg's testimony. Also provide any contact information you have for them pursuant to Rule 26.

I hope that we will be able to continue a professional dialogue regarding the timing and sequence of discovery in this case without the need for judicial intervention.

-Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

From: Sigrid McCawley [mailto:Smccawley@BSFLLP.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2016 8:46 AM

**To:** Laura Menninger **Cc:** Brenda Rodriguez

Subject: RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Hello Laura,

#### DEFENDANT'S DEPOSITION:

As you are aware, we originally noticed your client's deposition for March 2, 2016. We then provided you with multiple alternative dates because you stated that you had a conflict with the date provided. You have confirmed below that Ms. Maxwell is available for her deposition on March 25<sup>th</sup> in New York. The revised deposition notice is attached above. We understand that your client is requesting the entrance of a protective order in this case. We are in receipt of your proposed protective order and are reviewing and will provide you with a response to same shortly.

With respect to your demand below that we concede that we will only seek to take one 7 hour deposition of the named defendant Ms. Maxwell in this case, we disagree that we have to make any such determination at this stage of the litigation. We are entitled under the rules to depose the defendant, without delay, for one 7 hour deposition. If after

that deposition there are reasons that require us to seek additional time from the Court, we will do so and you can lodge any objections you have. You are not entitled to use your demand as a transparent delay tactic in an effort to preclude what is a critical deposition in this matter.

#### NON-PARTY SUBPOENED WITNESSES:

As a result of the conflict you had with our original date for Johanna Sjorberg's deposition, we provided you with multiple alternatives. I understand you have a conflict with March 23<sup>rd</sup> so please confirm you can be present for her deposition in Fort Lauderdale on March 16<sup>th</sup> in Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Ms. Chambers and Ms. Sjorberg's depositions cannot be taken on consecutive days because — while they are both in the state of Florida – the travel distance is about 7 hours by car so it won't work to schedule them consecutively. Moreover, these are non-party witnesses with varying work schedules that we are attempting to work around with their counsel and we have provided you will dates for which they are available.

Please confirm you can be present at Alyson Chambers deposition in St. Augustine Florida on March 22<sup>nd</sup>. For your travel arrangements, it is my understanding that the closest airport to St. Augustine is the Jacksonville, Florida airport.

As for your interpretation of Local Rule 30.1 we have reviewed the case law and it is not our understanding that this type of payment applies automatically to a named party. That said, you are of course able to make your application to the court in accordance with that rule and we will respond with our opposition, but nothing in that rule allows you to attempt to delay a subpoenaed deposition based on that rule.

Thank you, Sigrid

Sigrid S. McCawley Partner

## BOTES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

From: Laura Menninger [mailto:lmenninger@hmflaw.com]

Sent: Saturday, February 20, 2016 2:54 PM

**To:** Sigrid McCawley **Cc:** Brenda Rodriguez

**Subject**: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Sigrid -

I had not responded regarding the dates yet, in part, because you did not address the two issues I raised by email of February 12 (below). In particular, a protective order needs to be entered prior to Ms. Maxwell's deposition to address the same concerns you raised prior to your client's deposition in the Edwards/Cassell matter. I have taken the liberty of drafting a proposed protective order which I attach here. Please provide any comments you propose and we can get it filed and ruled upon by the Court.

Further, you did not provide your acknowledgement pursuant to Rule 30(d)(1) that this deposition, which likely will occur before Ms. Maxwell has filed an answer or counterclaims, will be her only deposition in this matter. If this is not your agreement, then we will need to seek a ruling from the Court.

Assuming that the attached protective order is entered in a timely fashion and your agreement that you will not be seeking a second deposition after Ms. Maxwell files an answer and counterclaim, then I can confirm the dates which will work for me and for her. Right now, of the dates you propose it appears that the March 25th date is best.

Regarding the depositions of Ms. Sjoberg and Chambers, I propose that we do those on consecutive days. Unfortunately, I am not available on March 23d as I have a sentencing in USDC Colorado that morning. I could propose March 24-25 or March 17-18. Also, given that these depositions are "more than 100 miles from the courthouse," I request your agreement to pay for my expenses for attendance at those depositions in Florida pursuant to Local R. 30.1.

Thank you, Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

From: Sigrid McCawley <smccawley@bsfllp.com>
Date: Friday, February 19, 2016 at 9:25 AM
To: Laura Menninger <lmenninger@hmflaw.com>

Subject: RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Hello Laura,

I am in receipt of your email below. As you are aware, the Court already denied your client's effort to stay discovery pending her motion to dismiss. As you are also aware, discovery in this case closes in a few short months. We are proceeding with discovery and cannot agree to wait any further for Ms. Maxwell's deposition.

You indicated you had a conflict with the original date we selected for Ms. Maxwell's deposition so we have provided you with alternate dates for Ms. Maxwell's deposition and would appreciate a timely response. You also stated that you had a conflict with the original subpoena date for Johanna Sjorberg. In an effort to accommodate the conflicts in your schedule, we provided you a selection of alternate dates that work for Ms. Sjorberg and her counsel and we have not heard back from you. Once again the dates are provided below. Please respond in a timely manner so we can schedule the depositions.

## 

<u>Proposed Alternate Dates for Ms. Maxwell's Deposition</u> to be taken in NY at BSF's Office – Feb. 29<sup>th</sup>, March 1<sup>st</sup>, March 14<sup>th</sup> or March 25<sup>th</sup>.

<u>Proposed Alternate Dates for Ms. Sjorberg's Deposition</u> to be taken in Fort Lauderdale at BSF's Office – March 16<sup>th</sup> or March 23<sup>rd</sup>.

<u>Presently Scheduled Date for Alyson Chambers Deposition</u> to be taken in St. Augustine Florida – March 22<sup>nd</sup>. To my knowledge, you have not indicated that you have a conflict with this date.

Thank you, Sigrid

Sigrid S. McCawley

Partner

## BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

From: Laura Menninger [mailto:lmenninger@hmflaw.com]

Sent: Friday, February 12, 2016 1:21 PM

To: Sigrid McCawley

**Subject:** Re: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Sigrid -

I'm happy to schedule a deposition for my client on a mutually agreeable date. I will check with her on these dates you have proposed and get back to you shortly.

It would make some sense to me to not schedule this deposition until after the judge rules on the motion to dismiss. If the motion is granted, we will have wasted time and money. If the Judge denies the motion, I intend to file an answer with affirmatives defenses as well as counterclaims against your client.

Given that Rule 30(d) only permits one day of deposition lasting 7 hours, in the event you choose to depose Ms. Maxwell prior to the filing of our affirmative defenses and counterclaims, you will have exhausted that one chance to depose her, and I will not agree, and will vigorously contest, your ability to schedule a second deposition.

We should also discuss an agreed upon protective order for discovery in this case. If you have one you like, please forward it to me, or I can take the lead in drafting.

-Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Miain 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com

#### www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

From: Sigrid McCawley [mailto:Smccawley@BSFLLP.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2016 12:41 PM

**To:** Laura Menninger **Cc:** Brenda Rodriguez

**Subject:** RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Hello Laura -I can offer the following alternate dates for Ms Maxwell's deposition -February 29th or March 1st or March 11th or March 14, 25 or 16.

I will get back to you on an alternate date for Ms. Sjorberg's deposition.

Thank you, Sigrid

----Original Message----

From: Laura Menninger [Imenninger@hmflaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2016 06:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

To: Sigrid McCawley Cc: Brenda Rodriguez

Subject: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [conferral concerning deposition dates]

Sigrid -

I have received your Notice of Deposition for Ms. Maxwell on March 2 as well as your subpoena for the deposition of Johanna Sjoberg on February 22. I am not available on either one of those dates due to pre-existing scheduling conflicts.

Local Rule 26.4(a) provides that "Counsel are expected to cooperate with each other, consistent with the interests of their clients, in all phases of the discovery process and to be courteous in their dealings with each other, including in matters relating to scheduling and timing of various discovery procedures."

I respectfully request that you send me other proposed dates that would work for you to take those two depositions so that I can clear them with my calendar and (as pertains to her deposition), my client's calendar. Presumably, coordination with Ms. Sjoberg's counsel also makes sense per Rule 45(d)(1).

-Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

The torus upon continuing the explosion of the explosion of the lateral of the result of the result of the explosion of the e

TI to the state of the state of

The normal property of the pro

From:

Laura Menninger < Imenninger@hmflaw.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, March 08, 2016 9:14 PM

To: Cc: Sigrid McCawley Brenda Rodriguez

Subject:

RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell [conferral regarding Plaintiff's deposition and IME]

#### Sigrid -

I can accept April 19 for Ms. Giuffre's deposition. It also makes sense to me to schedule her mother's deposition while you are already in Colorado as I understand her to live in Penrose as well, so I will schedule her for April 20<sup>th</sup>.

Please let me know if Ms. Miller is represented by counsel or otherwise is amenable to waiver of service, otherwise I can have her formally served for that date. | will issue Notices accordingly.

Thank you, Laura



Laura A. Menninger
Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

From: Sigrid McCawley [mailto:Smccawley@BSFLLP.com]

Sent: Monday, March 07, 2016 9:54 AM

**To:** Laura Menninger **Cc:** Brenda Rodriguez

Subject: RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell [conferral regarding Plaintiff's deposition and IME]

Hello Laura,

According to my understanding of our prior exchanges – it was my understanding that Maxwell could be made available for a deposition on March  $25^{th}$  – and per your request below, Virginia may be made available for deposition in Denver on April  $20^{th}$  or April  $20^{th}$  Are you in agreement that both of these depositions can proceed on that schedule?

To the extent the Court has not been able to enter a Protective Order in advance of that date, we request that you agree to treat Virginia's deposition as confidential until such time as an order has been entered. As I mentioned previously during our conference call, we are willing to extend Ms. Maxwell the same confidential treatment of her deposition transcript if the Court has not entered a Protective Order prior to her deposition on March 25th.

Kindly let me know your position. I am available to discuss at your convenience. Signid

Sigrid S. McCawley

Partner.

## BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

From: Sigrid McCawley

Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 11:45 AM

To: 'Laura Menninger' Cc: Brenda Rodriguez

Subject: RE: Giuffre v. Maxwell [conferral regarding Plaintiff's deposition and IME]

Hello Laura ~ I am checking with the client on these proposed dates and will get back to you shortly.

Thank you, Sigrid

Sigrid S. McCawley

Partner

#### BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Phone: 954-356-0011 ext. 4223

Fax: 954-356-0022 http://www.bsfllp.com

From: Laura Menninger [mailto:lmenninger@hmflaw.com]

Sent: Saturday, February 20, 2016 2:55 PM

**To:** Sigrid McCawley **Cc:** Brenda Rodriguez

Subject: Giuffre v. Maxwell [conferral regarding Plaintiff's deposition and IME]

Sigrid -

On a related note and subject to the same proposed protective order I sent you earlier, I would like to schedule Ms. Giuffre's deposition at my office in Denver. Please provide your availability for the weeks of April 11 and 18th.

Further, I will be seeking a Rule 35 independent psychological examination of Ms. Giuffre based on her claims that the defamation caused her mental distress. Please provide her availability to meet with our psychiatrist in Denver the first two weeks in May.

Thank you, Laura



Laura A. Menninger Haddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C. 150 East 10th Avenue Denver, Colorado 80203 Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628 <a href="mailto:linearinger@hmflaw.com">lmenninger@hmflaw.com</a> www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.

The information of a station are stated as with the market over a first be provided as a given to and say continuous and the provided and the state of the state

From: Laura Menninger < Imenninger@hmflaw.com>

Sent:Tuesday, April 05, 2016 8:05 PMTo:Sigrid McCawley; Meredith Schultz

Cc: Jeff Pagliuca

Subject: Giuffre v. Maxwell - [deposition notice for Plaintiff and conferral re witness deposition

dates

Attachments: 2016.04.05 Notice of Deposition - Giuffre .pdf; 2016.04.05 Ltr to McCawley re.

deposition dates.pdf

Please see attached correspondence.

Laura A. Menninger
Maddon, Morgan and Foreman, P.C.
150 East 10th Avenue
Denver, Colorado 80203
Main 303.831.7364 FX 303.832.2628
Imenninger@hmflaw.com
www.hmflaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you must not read this transmission and that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by telephone or return e-mail and delete the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving it in any manner. Thank you.