EXHIBIT L

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR
2	BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
3	CASE NO. CACE 15-000072
4	
5	BRADLEY J. EDWARDS and PAUL G. CASSELL,
6	Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants,
7	VS.
8	v 3.
9	ALAN M. DERSHOWITZ,
10	Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.
11	/
12	
13	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15	PAUL G. CASSELL
16	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT
17	VOLUME I, PAGES 1 to 151
18	
19	
20	Friday, October 16, 2015
21	1:33 p.m 4:31 p.m.
22	110 Southeast 6th Street
23	110 Southeast offi Street 110 Tower - Suite 1850 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
24	FULL LAUGET GATE, FIULTGA 33301
25	Theresa Tomaselli, RMR

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS (954) 331-4400

I would like to know why you alleged "and 1 Q. other minors" given what you have said about your 2 3 knowledge of the factual basis, so to speak, for that 4 allegation. 5 Α. Okay. There are going to be -- I'm going to 6 end up giving you nine reasons, each of which is complicated, so I just want to -- if -- if -- I don't 7 want to be accused of -- of filibustering or anything. 8 9 I just want you to know that you have asked a broad 10 question that's going to require a broad and extended 11 answer. It -- it --12 Q. Answer the question. Okay. Then I'm going to refer to a -- I have 13 a -- well, actually, I don't. 14 15 Q. Let me ask you this: Before you refer to something --16 17 Α. Yeah. -- please give me your best recollection of 18 19 what the basis was, the factual basis that you had in 20 If the court said to you -- let me put it this 21 If you went to court and Judge Marra said, 22 Professor Cassell, what's your factual basis for this 23 allegation? Tell me. What would you say? 24 Α. Right. 25 MS. McCAWLEY: Wait. Outside the context of

1 anything that's been communicated to you. MR. SCAROLA: Excuse me. You have asked two 2 3 different questions now, and I need to understand which question you are asking. 4 5 The question that you posed before just now What was the reason for your including 6 7 those allegations in this pleading? 8 Now you have asked: What is the factual 9 basis? And that's going back to questions that 10 we have already covered, and we have, I think, 11 exhausted the ability to respond to that question 12 outside of privileged information. 13 Do you want to go back to the question about: What was your reason for including those 14 15 allegations? 16 MR. SIMPSON: I'll ask the question a 17 different way. 18 MR. SCAROLA: Thank you. 19 BY MR. SIMPSON: 20 Q. Mr. Cassell, I'm going to ask you: If you're 21 in court and Judge Marra said to you, counsel, what is the factual basis for your allegation that Professor 22 Dershowitz abused other minors, what would you say? And 23 24 if you wouldn't say something because it was privileged, then don't include it. What would you tell the judge 25

1 was your basis for this? 2 All right. So the initial basis for it Α. 3 was --MR. SCAROLA: First of all, let me object 4 5 because Professor Cassell is not here as an 6 expert witness and hypotheticals are 7 inappropriate. You're calling for speculation on 8 his part. I'm not going to instruct him not to 9 answer, but it is an improper question. 10 MR. SIMPSON: I disagree, but you can answer 11 the question. 12 THE WITNESS: Right. So the -- the factual 13 basis would -- we are setting aside 14 attorney/client communications, right? 15 BY MR. SIMPSON: 16 Q. I'm asking: What would you tell the judge? 17 Right. So that -- I -- that's Α. speculative to -- I don't think I can give a fair answer 18 19 at this point because that would have involved going back to my client and -- and carving out what kinds 20 21 of things we were going to present to Judge Marra in 22 light of the posture of the case at that point. 23 So it's a speculative question. I would 24 have -- let me just -- without going into any attorney/client privileged communications, I would have 25

1 provided an ample factual basis for those allegations. 2 MR. SIMPSON: I move to strike as 3 nonresponsive. BY MR. SIMPSON: 4 5 Q. Let me ask it this way: We have talked 6 about -- somewhat about the basis for this allegation 7 about other minors. Putting aside information as to 8 which you're claiming privilege, tell me what you knew 9 as of December 30th, 2014, that formed the factual basis 10 for your -- for that allegation about other minors. 11 MR. SCAROLA: And I'll instruct you not to 12 answer that question for the same reason, that 13 when the same question was asked earlier, I 14 instructed you not to answer. 15 MR. SIMPSON: I'm -- I'm -- maybe we are not being clear, Jack. I'm asking him to put 16 17 aside -- I mean, certainly, he -- he filed a 18 pleading. You've asserted privilege as to 19 certain aspects. I'm simply asking him, putting 20 aside whatever you're claiming privilege for, 21 right, so I'm not -- I'm not asking you right now 22 to tell me anything you're claiming as 23 privileged. 24 BY MR. SIMPSON: Tell me whatever is not privileged that 25 Q.

1 supports that allegation. 2 Α. The privileged information, obviously, 0kav. 3 you're asking me not to reveal at this point. I'm asking you -- I'm asking you to tell me Q. 4 5 the nonprivileged information. And I'm not agreeing 6 with your privilege assertion --7 Α. Sure. Q. 8 -- but for purposes of this question --9 For purposes of this question. Α. 10 Q. -- I'm accepting it. 11 Α. All right. Putting aside what you claim is privileged, I 12 Q. 13 want to know everything that's the factual basis for including the allegation about other minors. 14 15 Α. Okay. Privileged information which I'm not 16 disclosing in any way would have interacted with a vast 17 body of other information. 18 The vast body of other information would have 19 started with an 89-page police report from the Palm 20 Beach Police Department that showed for about a 21 six-month period in 2005, there was sexual abuse of 22 minor girls going on on a daily basis, in -- whenever 23 Jeffrey Epstein was in his Palm Beach mansion.

not twice, but three times during the day. That -- let

24

25

And on some cases, it was going on not once,

me just be clear. I mean, I -- I referred to the 89-page police report. I have offered to put it into the record if -- if it would speed things up, but let's just talk about some of the things that are in that 89-page police report.

This was a -- a very intensive investigation that the Palm Beach Police Department put together. They did, for example, what are called trash covers; that is when trash came out of the -- of the mansion of Epstein, the police would intercept the trash and then they would go through the trash and look for incriminating information.

And what they began to discover was memo pads -- and I say "memo pads," let's be clear, pad after pad after pad, or I guess I should say, sheet after sheet after sheet that had the name of a girl, and then there was a notation of something to the effect of a massage.

And so the Palm Beach Police Department began tracking down, well, wait a minute, these -- these are girls giving massages and they don't seem to have any specialized training in massages; they don't seem to be masseuses in any sense of the term; what's going on here?

And so the Palm Beach Police Department

began, you know, I guess what we would call knock-and-talks, knocking on doors to try to get to some of these girls, and they would get to the girls, and many of them initially were -- were afraid to explain what had happened.

But as they -- as they continued talking to them, the girls began to explain that what was happening was, they were going over to Epstein's house under the guise of giving a massage, and when they got there, the massage was, in fact, sexual activity.

And for many of the girls, I think, as I say around 23, 24, something along those lines, they were underage. They were under the age of consent in Florida.

And so each and every one of those events was a crime being perpetrated -- and let's be clear, not just being perpetrated by Epstein, but by other people who were involved there at the mansion.

And so what the -- the Palm Beach Police
Department was putting together was that this mansion in
Florida was the nest of sexual abuse of young girls here
in Florida that involved, literally, in the -- in this
period of time, more than a hundred events that they
were able to document of sexual abuse.

And when you put that together with the

pattern or practice that was being revealed there, there were hundreds of acts of sexual abuse going on in the mansion.

But then what becomes -- and this is where I indicated that, you know, the answer would continue on. The -- the problem was that the evidence was starting to show that this was a much broader series of events. For example, there were flight logs showing that Mr. Epstein was then flying with underaged girls, and those flight logs, you know, as -- as the flight logs began to develop, for example, we have seen, I know in the last day or two here, one underage girl was Virginia Roberts who is on the flight, you know, with Epstein, and with Maxwell, and those sorts of things.

So you start to look at the flight logs and you see what's going on is not just events that are occurring in Florida, but it's occurring on a multi-state basis, which now starts to make it a federal crime. For example, we are seeing evidence that -- let's just talk about Virginia Roberts since she's central to this case.

We are seeing Virginia Roberts being flown from Florida to New York where she's in the clutches of Jeffrey Epstein who is sexually abusing her, you know, many times a week. And not just Jeffrey Epstein, but

other powerful persons. For example, Ghislaine Maxwell is there with him on all of these flights and apparently being involved in the abuse.

Indeed -- and so you -- you have -- you have that. You also start to see on the flight logs, what to my mind are some very sinister things, suggesting that the pattern is not just confined to sort of, you know, the girls that are there in Florida, but it -- it is extending more broadly.

Like one of the -- to my mind, sinister and scary things on the flight logs is, we see, you know, Virginia Roberts, who we know has been sexually abused, and we see Jeffrey Epstein, and then we see on the flight logs one female.

That's kind of an odd notation for a flight log because, you know, typically, I understand the flight logs, the purpose is, well, if something happens with the flight, or there's some question about who was on it, you want to know who -- who the person was who was on the flight.

So, to my mind, when I started to see on these flight logs entries like one female, I viewed that as a potential device for obscuring the fact that there was interstate trafficking of underage girls for purposes of sexual activity. Serious federal offenses.

But then that evidence extended, you know, more broadly than that. The evidence also started to show, again, if we talk just about flight logs, that the -- that underage girls such as Virginia Roberts were being flown internationally from, for example, Teterboro in New York to -- to locations, just to pick one, you know, for example, in London, where again sexual abuse was occurring.

And so you started to put together this pattern of criminality that was started in this -- you know, I don't know what the right word is here. I don't want to -- I don't want to -- you know, you've heard discussions of hyperbole and things like that, but we have got this nest of -- of -- and I won't say snakes, but we have this nest of criminals in Florida, but it -- it seems to be spreading to Epstein's mansion in New York; it seems to be spreading to Ghislaine Maxwell's flat in London, and -- and -- and it goes on.

So those are the kinds of things that would have formed the -- the -- the basis, particularly when you -- when you start to add in this fact: What the Palm -- going back now to Florida with the Palm Beach Police Department. What the Palm Beach Police Department has -- had discovered was not a one-off kind of event, you know, on one particular day, one

particular girl had been sexually abused.

What the Palm Beach Police Department had discovered was brazen, notorious, repetitive activity sometimes occurring as often as three times in a particular day. And so that led me to believe that the sexual activity that was going on in Florida was such that someone who was a regular house guest there would have immediately come to the conclusion that, well, look, gee, there are these underage girls coming in here and they -- they seem to be -- you know, they don't seem to be here to be doing, you know, business activities; they -- they might be here doing other kinds of activities. So those would be the kinds of things that would -- would have formed the factual basis.

There are other things as well, but I'm sure you want to ask other questions in addition to that. So I'll stop there, but those -- that's -- I think gives you a small flavor of the kind of evidence that, you know, was form -- undergirding the allegations that were being presented here.

- Q. It sounds like you quite passionately believe that there was strong evidence that Mr. Epstein had engaged in sexual misconduct; is that right?
 - A. I think "strong" understates it.
 - Q. In the course of that long answer, you didn't

1 mention Professor Dershowitz's name once. I said flight logs. And let's talk about 2 3 flight logs. Let me back up. You didn't answer his 4 Q. 5 name -- mention his name once; is that -- is that your 6 recollection as well? 7 That's correct. We were talking about a 8 factual basis, and I'll be glad -- I told you that there 9 were other things if you want, factual basis for -- for 10 Mr. Dershowitz. I'll be glad to add that in. Let me --11 let's -- let me -- let me -- I would like to supplement 12 my answer then if I could. 13 Do you want to look at a document? 0. 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. Let me first -- have we exhausted your recollection without documents of all the evidence that 16 17 you would refer to to support the allegation that 18 Professor Dershowitz abused other minors? 19 Α. No. MR. SCAROLA: And let me say that you have a 20 21 right to refer to whatever documents you choose 22 to refer to, to be sure that you give a complete 23 response to the question that has been asked, as

long as you understand that whatever you refer to

is going to be available to the other side, and

24

25

4	
1	we would be happy to make it available to you.
2	MR. SIMPSON: And and I'll give you an
3	opportunity to look at that
4	THE WITNESS: Sure
5	BY MR. SIMPSON:
6	Q but I'm entitled to ask first about your
7	recollection.
8	A. 0kay.
9	Q. Based on your recollection
10	A. Right.
11	Q I want to know all the evidence
12	A. Right.
13	Q you were relying on here.
14	A. So what what I'm going to do is, I'm going
15	to make a list here on my on my notepad of all the
16	things, and then I'm going to compare that with notes I
17	have here. There may be a couple things that I don't
18	cover.
19	Q. As long as your counsel is okay with that.
20	A. Yeah.
21	Q. You understand you'll have to give that to
22	me?
23	A. Yeah. I'll give you the notes
24	Q. All right.
25	A and then I will compare with what I've got

there. So I mentioned the Palm Beach Police Department report.

The next thing that I want to mention is the Jane Doe 102 complaint. In August of 2009, Bob Josefsberg -- who is, from what I understood, a very well-regarded lawyer here in Florida; in fact, a lawyer that was selected by the United States Government to represent a number of the -- of the girls that had been sexually abused by Jeffrey Epstein. He was -- he was part of the procedure that was including the nonprosecution agreement.

In August of 2009, he filed a complaint on behalf of Virginia Roberts. That complaint indicated that Virginia Roberts had been sexually abused in Florida, in New York, and in -- in other places, as I recall. The thing that -- that I particularly recall was that Mr. Josefsberg had said, Virginia Roberts was abused by -- and he gave some categories of people.

He mentioned, I think, business people. He mentioned royalty, and he mentioned academicians. And so to tie into your question, I knew that Professor Dershowitz was an academician. And so what I was seeing now was, that according to a very, very respected attorney here in Florida, he had found Virginia Roberts to be credible, and had filed a lawsuit against

Mr. Epstein saying that she had been trafficked, sexually trafficked, you know, not just abused by Mr. Epstein, but now being forcibly sent to, you know, other people to abuse.

And in the categories of people that were sexually abusing her were academicians, and I knew that Mr. Dershowitz fell within that category of -- of being an academician. The -- that complaint also indicated that there might be flight logs that would show that Virginia Roberts had been sexually abused in these various locations. And that started to indicate to me that there might be what the law refers to as a common scheme or plan. And that, just as Virginia Roberts was being trafficked to these powerful people in various places, there might well be other girls.

And so I have mentioned a flight log, and let you -- you wanted to talk about Mr. Dershowitz. On -- on December 30th, 2009, I was aware that there was a flight log showing Mr. Dershowitz flying with Tatiana, who as far as I can tell was not a business person, was not providing financial advice or something else.

I understood that Mr. Epstein was a billionaire who was heavily involved in financial issues. I knew that Tatiana was on a plane with Mr. Dershowitz, and then there was also, if I recall

1 correctly, working from memory as -- as you were 2 wondering about, there was a notation that 3 Mr. Dershowitz was on a plane with one female. 4 And so I was -- when I looked at that, I'm 5 seeing Mr. Dershowitz on a -- on a flight with a woman 6 who doesn't seem to be there for, frankly anything other 7 than sexual purposes or something along those lines with Mr. Epstein, with Mr. Epstein, who is a sex trafficker, 8 9 and with one female which seemed to me to be a potential entry for disquising international sex trafficking. 10 11 that was of concern. 12 I then began to look at, well, I wonder, how 13 would I find out if Mr. Dershowitz had been abusing other girls? Let's see. I knew that Virginia Roberts 14 15 had been forced to -- to -- to do this sort of 16 thing. 17 MS. McCAWLEY: You're okay as long as you're -- if you're revealing something that's in 18 19 an affidavit --20 THE WITNESS: That's right. 21 MS. McCAWLEY: -- that she submitted, you're 22 fine. Right. So -- so what... 23 THE WITNESS: 24 Let's see. What did I want, at this point --25

1 BY MR. SIMPSON: 2 Q. Do you want the question back? 3 Α. No. I'm just trying to remember what I was thinking about with -- with regard to --4 5 MR. SCAROLA: Do you need the response read 6 back up to the point --7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, if you would do that, 8 yeah. I just --9 MR. SCAROLA: -- about privilege arose. 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Let's just see what that 11 one --12 MR. SCAROLA: Just read the last couple of 13 sentences back, or the last two sentences. 14 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Now I remember 15 exactly what I was thinking. How would we go find out whether Mr. Epstein 16 17 was lending women, or in this case, underage 18 girls, to Mr. Dershowitz for sexual purposes? 19 Well, the first thing I want to do was ask -- you know, I'd -- I'd go ask Jeffrey Epstein. 20 21 And so what I discovered when I started to 22 look at the transcripts, there were a number of 23 transcripts where Mr. Epstein was asked about 24 Alan Dershowitz. And rather than say, well, no, he wasn't involved in any of these illegal 25

activities, Jeffrey Epstein took the Fifth as the phrase, you know, to be more precise. He exercised his right against compelled self-incrimination and refused to answer the question, which since these were civil cases, indicated to me, since he was being represented by very experienced legal counsel, that there was more than an insignificant risk of incriminating himself if he answered that.

And so Jeffrey Epstein now had taken the

And so Jeffrey Epstein now had taken the Fifth. And one of the things that I was aware of having been involved in, you know, civil litigation and criminal litigation in other cases, was that once somebody refuses to answer a question like, you know: Do you know Mr. Dershowitz? And they take the Fifth on that, that you're then entitled to draw what's called an adverse inference. You can -- you can infer that, well, if they answered that question, they would have --

MR. SCAROLA: Excuse me.

MS. McCAWLEY: Yeah, I want to make an objection here --

MR. SCAROLA: Pardon me. Could you please try to control your client --

1	MS. McCAWLEY: Yeah.
2	MR. SCAROLA: who keeps jumping up and
3	down and distracting everybody in the room?
4	MS. McCAWLEY: And there was also profanity
5	used earlier. I mean, we just have to settle
6	down on this side, and take a deep breath, and
7	let him answer his questions.
8	MR. SIMPSON: Look, I mean, the same thing
9	was happening on the other side.
10	MR. SCAROLA: No, sir.
11	MS. McCAWLEY: There was no profanity on this
12	side of the table.
13	MR. SCAROLA: No, no, no. There was never
14	anyone who jumped to their feet at any time
15	during the course of the last two days. The only
16	person who keeps jumping up is Alan Dershowitz.
17	Have him pass you a note quietly, if you would,
18	please.
19	MR. SIMPSON: I will disagree with your
20	characterization, but let me say the
21	argumentation
22	MR. SCAROLA: Excuse me. Are you are you
23	making the representation
24	MR. SIMPSON: No, I'm not.
25	MR. SCAROLA: that somebody on this side

1	of the room jumped up?
2	MR. SIMPSON: No, no, no, I'm not.
3	MR. SCAROLA: Okay. Thank you.
4	MR. SIMPSON: I'm not.
5	MR. SCAROLA: And I appreciate that.
6	MR. SIMPSON: And I
7	MR. SCAROLA: And you do acknowledge that
8	Mr. Dershowitz has repeatedly been jumping up in
9	the middle of testimony, correct?
10	MR. SIMPSON: That's he just got up and
11	came over to me. That's the only time I'm aware
12	of, because I'm I'm looking at the witness,
13	but he did just do that, and I will pass notes.
14	We won't get up.
15	MR. SCAROLA: Okay. Well, I will tell you
16	MR. SIMPSON: I'm not going to take time from
17	this.
18	MR. SCAROLA: I will I will, for the
19	record, as an officer of the court, represent
20	that there have been multiple times during the
21	course of Professor Cassell's deposition when
22	Alan Dershowitz has jumped up in the middle of
23	the testimony and excitedly whispered in your
24	ear.
25	You may not have realized it because you were

1	focusing on the witness, but everybody on this
2	side of the room has been distracted by his
3	unprofessional conduct.
4	MR. SIMPSON: I'm not going to argue with
5	you. And I
6	MR. SCAROLA: Thank you.
7	MR. SIMPSON: I disagree with that
8	characterization. There is another attorney
9	sitting between us. We will pass notes.
10	MR. SCAROLA: Thank you.
11	MR. SIMPSON: And we and I believe,
12	Ms. McCawley, were you instructing not to answer
13	or what was happening? What did you what were
14	you raising?
15	MS. McCAWLEY: No. There was a lot of
16	yelling going on here, so I was trying to make
17	sure that everybody was quiet
18	MR. SIMPSON: All right.
19	MS. McCAWLEY: so that the client could
20	answer.
21	MR. SIMPSON: All right. Let me back up.
22	BY MR. SIMPSON:
23	Q. Professor Cassell, I think you were in the
24	middle of an answer?
25	A. I was. Yes, if I could conclude

1 MR. SIMPSON: All right. Could the court 2 reporter read me the last two lines of your 3 answer? 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. 5 (Thereupon, a portion of the record was read 6 by the reporter.) BY MR. SIMPSON: 7 Q. 8 Okay. Can you pick up then? 9 Α. I'll pick up -- pick up the --Sure. 10 Q. Okay. 11 So I was beginning to draw an adverse 12 inference when Jeffrey Epstein, who is at the heart of 13 the sexual abuse of, not only Virginia Roberts, but dozens and dozens and dozens of -- of girls literally 14 15 scattered across the globe, takes the Fifth, refuses to 16 answer the question, off the top of my head, I can't 17 recall exactly, but something along lines of: Do you know Alan Dershowitz? And he says, I take the Fifth. 18 19 That sort of, frankly, startled me, that -- that this 20 international sex trafficker was taking the Fifth now 21 when asked about Mr. Dershowitz. 22 And so I was stymied in trying to get information from Mr. Epstein at that point. I think 23 there were two depositions, if I recall correctly off 24 25 the top of my head, that -- that I had an opportunity to review, in which he took the Fifth when asked questions about Dershowitz.

So, at that point, in trying to figure out, you know, whether Mr. Dershowitz was involved in sexually abusing, not only Virginia Roberts, but in other girls, then you go down to the next level, next layer of the criminal conspiracy.

Epstein is at the top, so you go to the next layer. These are, you know, basically the -- the women who, from what I could gather, were -- were older than the age that Epstein wanted to sexually abuse. I think these were 22 and 23-year-old girls, so they had, you know, essentially aged out of being his sexual abuse victims, but they continued to -- what they would do is collect girls for him under the age of 18, that I guess was in his target range.

And so what -- so the next person I wanted to talk to, you know, and get information from was Sarah Kellen. Sarah Kellen is on a lot of these flight logs with, you know, these girls that -- or women and with Epstein and others, and so I wanted to talk to Sarah Kellen.

But what I discovered there was that, when Sarah Kellen was asked about Alan Dershowitz, she took the Fifth, and there was -- she wasn't the only one.

There was Miss Mucinska, who also took the Fifth when asked questions about Alan Dershowitz.

And then there was Marcin -- Miss Marcinkova who also took the Fifth. So what we -- what I had at this point was Jeffrey Epstein's international sex trafficking organization. I had the next echelon, and both the top kingpin of the sex trafficking organization, and the next echelon had taken the Fifth, had refused to answer questions about Alan Dershowitz.

And so, at -- at that point, I was drawing an adverse inference, not just from one person, but from four persons, and that adverse inference was being strengthened by the surrounding circumstances, some of which we have already talked about.

One of the things that -- that really bolstered the adverse inference that I was drawing in this case was that I've mentioned those three girls, Kellen, Mucinska, and Marcinkova. They were all covered by a nonprosecution agreement. And the nonprosecution agreement was highly unusual.

I -- I had been a federal prosecutor for about four years, I had been a federal judge for about five-and-a-half years, so I had seen a lot of -- of, you know, nonprosecution types of arrangements. And one of the things that was very unusual in this one is, it has

what I'll refer to as the blank-check immunity provision.

There was a provision in the nonprosecution agreement that said, this agreement will prevent federal prosecution for international and interstate sex trafficking, not only of Jeffrey Epstein, and not only of the four women who were identified, but -- and this is a direct quote: Any other potential co-conspirator, close quote.

And so that was unusual because what it -what it seemed to be doing was that somehow this
agreement was quite out of the normal and had been
designed to extend immunity to other people that might
have been associated with Epstein.

And I knew that that category included the people that were involved in negotiating this highly unusual provision included Mr. Dershowitz, who had been heavily involved, not only in the drafting of the agreement, but had also been involved remarkably in attacking the credibility of these girls and saying things like, you know, it was -- Epstein wasn't targeting minor girls, which just struck -- you know, I was -- I don't want to use a technical term, gob-smocked, that a defense attorney with an obligation to tell the truth was making a factual representation

that Jeffrey Epstein was not targeting minor girls, when the Palm Beach Police Department had collected, you know, 23 of them that had all given essentially interlocking stories about how they had all gone over to this house, you know, the mansion, to give a massage and when they had gotten there, they had been sexually abused.

So the kingpin wouldn't talk. The next echelon of the trafficking organization wouldn't talk. So the next step was to say, okay, let's see if we can find somebody, you know, lower level in there, you know, a household employee or something like that; maybe they will have some information about, you know, what this criminal organization is doing.

Now, let's -- let's understand, you know, given the pervasiveness of the -- of the criminal activity, I -- I wasn't convinced that they were going to be able to get in there and start saying exactly what was going on because they might well be exposing themselves to criminal -- you know, criminal culpability.

But I -- I was able to read a sworn deposition from Juan Alessi, and Juan Alessi -- I think -- I don't know. Maybe just to speed things up today, I won't go through all the things that are --

that are said there, but Alessi puts Mr. Dershowitz at the nest of this international sex trafficking organization. Let's see. I think he said four or five times a year, two or three -- you know, two or three days when he goes there.

And let's be clear, I know Mr. Dershowitz had said at some points like, I'm an attorney, and that's my client and so forth. And Alessi said, no, but this was not in a -- in a lawyer/client capacity; this is in a friend capacity.

And so now we have Alessi putting him there at the same time when young girls were there. And one of the -- the -- the things that I picked up, so is Alessi -- you know, is he able to figure out who these girls are?

A photograph of Virginia Roberts is shown to Juan Alessi in the deposition, and he I.D.s the photograph as, you know, V.R., so he -- he had, you know, put two and two together.

So now I've got V.R. coming to the house at a time when Mr. Dershowitz is also in the house, and apparently spending, you know, two to three nights there and doing this four or five times a year.

Now, Alessi wasn't the only one. There was Alfredo Rodriguez who was there in about 2004 to 2005,

after the time period of Virginia Roberts, but it's part of the common scheme or plan that we've been discussing here.

And so in 2005, Alfredo Rodriguez says, yeah, again, Mr. Dershowitz is there at a time when these massages are going on. When you start to look at Alessi and Rodriguez's statements in context where they're -- they're saying he's there at the same time the massages are occurring, and with the West Palm Beach Police Department reports showing that massages are of a sexual nature, again, it started to put two and two together.

One of the things that was particularly important about Rodriguez's situation was that Rodriguez had an access to what's been called the little black book, or I think he referred to it as the holy grail. This was Jeffrey Epstein's, you know, telephone book where he had telephone numbers in it.

And so Rodriguez had that and, you know, I guess thought that this would be worth a lot of money because it would -- you know, it would identify all of the people that have been sexually abused by -- by Jeffrey Epstein. And so he tried to sell it. The FBI busted him for that.

And when the FBI busted him, now he's got this book. And so the book went to Alessi, and

according to a -- to a later FBI report, Alessi identified information that was pertinent to the FBI's investigation.

And so when I look at the little black book that I have seen copies of, there are a handful of names in that black book that have been circled, apparently by Mr. Rodriguez, and one of the names that's -- that has been circled is Alan Dershowitz. And so that, to me, was suggesting that Mr. Rodriguez had identified, you know, Alan Dershowitz as somebody who had information about this -- this international sex trafficking ring.

But just as a side note, but an important note, when the -- the thing that was circled on the Alan Dershowitz page was not a single phone number indicating, you know, somebody had bumped -- you know, Epstein had bumped into at one point. I believe there were 10 or 11 phone numbers that were associated with Mr. Dershowitz that had all been circled and an e-mail address as well.

So that started to corroborate my sense that Mr. Dershowitz was, indeed, a very close friend of Jeffrey Epstein. Now, I had then continued to do -- there's been reference today to, you know, using Google to do research and so forth. So I Googled Jeffrey Epstein and one of the things that pops up rather

rapidly is an article in Vanity Fair.

And what you see in that article is, you know, discussion about Mr. Epstein, but when you're trying to do a profile of someone, you try to figure out who that person's closest friends are.

And so the Vanity Fair author had gone to Alan Dershowitz, you know, our -- Mr. Dershowitz here, and had asked him, hey, what do you know about Jeffrey Epstein?

And, again, off the top of my head, you want to know what I can remember right now. What I can remember right now is that in the Vanity Fair article, the -- in the Vanity Fair article, Mr. Dershowitz said, I've written 20-some odd books; there's only one person outside my immediate family with whom I share drafts, and that's Jeffrey Epstein.

So I took that as indicating a -- a very close personal association that -- you know, among the people that -- that obviously he's sharing this -- these kinds of things that he wants evaluated before he shares them with the broader world, there's his immediate family and then there's -- there's Jeffrey Epstein.

There was also another similar quote in the article that indicated that -- that Mr. Dershowitz said that he wasn't interested in Epstein just because he had

a lot of money. I mean, Epstein was identified as a billionaire in this article, billionaire with -- with a B, so the record is clear.

But he said, look, if Epstein lost all his money -- I'm paraphrasing here -- I would be, you know, happy to walk down, you know, the Coney Island Boardwalk with him and discuss things with him, as -- you know, even if he didn't have any money.

So now I'm seeing Dershowitz is a very close personal friend of Jeffrey Epstein. And then I started to look at flight logs. There were -- there were some very interesting things that I noticed on the flight logs.

One of the things I noticed was when I began to, you know, get into this, that, you know, I was wondering, well, what -- well, how do these flight logs come into the possession of, you know, law enforcement agencies? And the answer turned out to be that they had been provided by Epstein's defense attorney and -- and, you know, coincidentally, I suppose, or in my mind, suspiciously, they were not provided by just any defense attorney on this rather large defense team. They were provided by one attorney according to Detective Recarey. Detective Recarey testified under oath that the flight logs were provided to him by Alan Dershowitz.

So one of the things that was -- was interesting is, Dershowitz has had access to these flight logs, and now I'm beginning to wonder, well, has there been an opportunity to sanitize those flight logs or remove any incriminating information?

And -- and one of the things that was interesting about the flight logs that were produced -- I believe just so the record is clear, that was Exhibit 1 that -- if we could -- if I could refer -- I need to refresh my recollection as to -- well, I don't -- you may not want me to look at documents.

It was either Exhibit 1 or 2 this morning during Dershowitz's deposition which was covering a time period of January to, I believe, September 2005. These were flight logs that were produced by Mr. Dershowitz to the Palm Beach Police Department.

And you wonder why did they stop in September -- you know, why stop in September 2005? What's the significance of that? Well, later on, additional flight logs were obtained, and sure enough, who shows up on an October 2005 flight log with Jeffrey Epstein? Mr. Dershowitz.

So that led to a suspicion that
Mr. Dershowitz had provided to the Palm Beach Police
Department flight logs that, the time period of which

for the production had been carefully crafted to keep him out of it; in other words, to not produce the October 2005 version.

The other thing I -- I began to discover as I started going through some flight logs, Dave Rogers, who is one of I think about three pilots that -- that Epstein regularly relied on to fly his -- you know, he had very fancy -- to use the technical term -- jets.

There were about -- there were about three pilots there.

One of them had some flight logs and that -that was Pilot Dave Rogers, if I'm recalling his name
correctly. And so later on in the litigation, the sex
abuse litigation against Epstein, flight logs were
obtained from Dave Rogers, and it was possible to -- to
compare -- I'm sorry. I don't mean to -- I want to make
sure I get -- you know, the question is: How much can I
remember and I'm trying to make sure I get -- get it all
in.

And so the flight logs were produced from Dave Rogers. And so Dave Rogers produced some flight logs, and some of the flights that he produced logs for coincided with the logs that Mr. Dershowitz had provided to the Palm Beach Police Department, and there were inconsistencies. And so that, again, aroused my suspicion that maybe Mr. Dershowitz when he had --

1	MR. SCOTT: I just got a call from a lawyer
2	on the screen. His his phone is not working,
3	Epstein's lawyer, Darren Indyke.
4	MR. SIMPSON: Why don't
5	MR. SCAROLA: Do you want to take a break for
6	a second?
7	MR. SIMPSON: Well, why don't well, why
8	don't we let him finish his answer?
9	MR. SCAROLA: Let him finish the answer.
10	MR. SCOTT: Yeah, let's do that. You're
11	right.
12	MR. SCAROLA: Although it may take a while.
13	THE WITNESS: It it's, I mean, the
14	question
15	MR. SCAROLA: Yeah. But let's
16	MR. SCOTT: I don't care.
17	MR. SCAROLA: Okay. Let's let's go ahead
18	and finish.
19	MR. SCOTT: Let's go ahead and finish the
20	answer. We heard this much.
21	MR. SCAROLA: Good. Thank you.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay. So there
23	MR. SCOTT: I don't want to break him on a
24	roll.
25	MR. SCAROLA: Thanks.

THE WITNESS: Right. No, I mean, I want to make -- I want to make clear that there was a lot of information that I was relying on in filing this pleading, and -- and, of course, the later pleading. So we are on the subject of flight logs.

Flight logs showed that the flight logs
Mr. Dershowitz had produced to Detective Recarey
were incomplete and inaccurate. And so that led
to concern on my part that Mr. Dershowitz had had
an opportunity to sanitize the flight logs,
had -- had -- had provided incomplete production,
you know, obviously, very important production
that the Palm Beach Police Department was looking
at.

Then we got some additional flight logs from Dave Rogers. And what those flight logs showed -- first off, let's talk again about the -- the production of those flight logs.

My recollection is that Dave Rogers's flight logs were provided by Bruce Reinhart who was a former Assistant U.S. Attorney who had been inside the Southern District of Florida Office at a time when the Epstein case was the subject of regular discussion in that office.

And then he had gone to work for some kind of a -- a law firm or private operation that was located adjacent to Mr. Epstein's business. And so, now, Reinhart, who appeared to be being paid by Mr. Epstein, and certainly was adjacent to Mr. Epstein's business office, was producing these flight logs.

So that, again, aroused suspicion that the flight logs that were being produced would have been sanitized or inaccurate.

But even -- I mean, you know, I think the problem with -- you know, you can't sanitize everything. That would be too suspicious. And so what -- what was -- was -- what was evident on these flight logs was, for example, approximately ten flights by Mr. Dershowitz with Tatiana has -- has been discussed; with Maxwell; with Jeffrey Epstein. One of them had one female, which, again, in the context that I was looking at, seemed to be a potential code word for underage -- underage girl.

And so those flight logs showed, you know, again, close association and travel with -- with -- with Mr. Dershowitz, and Mr. Epstein.

1	Another thing that I had, and I will not
2	reveal any privileged communications here or any
3	confidential information, but on December 30th, I
4	was aware that one of the preeminent lawyers in
5	the United States, David Boies, had agreed to
6	represent Virginia Roberts. And given the vast
7	amount of business that that, you know, tries
8	to get in the door
9	MR. SIMPSON: Could I interrupt? I mean, I
10	think we are going towards a waiver here.
11	MS. McCAWLEY: Yeah. No, no, no, I do not
12	MR. SIMPSON: We can't have testimony
13	about
14	MS. McCAWLEY: Yeah.
15	MR. SIMPSON: this is one of the most
16	respected people in the country, or lawyers in
17	the country, and then you won't answer the
18	questions
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	MR. SIMPSON: you said not to answer.
21	MS. McCAWLEY: Oh. Well, describing David
22	Boies in general
23	MR. SIMPSON: I agree with the description.
24	MS. McCAWLEY: doesn't constitute a
25	waiver.

1 MR. SIMPSON: He's a distinguished lawyer. 2 MR. SCAROLA: And I don't think we are 3 getting beyond anything that is a matter of public record. 4 5 MR. SIMPSON: I just -- I --MS. McCAWLEY: But I appreciate you --6 7 MR. SIMPSON: Be aware of waiver. 8 MS. McCAWLEY: -- letting me know that. THE WITNESS: All right. I will be -- I will 9 not waive anything, and if I start to do that, I 10 would certainly request the opportunity to -- to 11 12 retract what I'm doing, but I was aware -- since the issue is, well, what's in the public record, 13 14 I was aware that, you know, probably the most 15 significant United States Supreme Court case 16 argued in the last 20 years was Bush versus Gore, 17 which was a case that essentially determined who 18 was going to be President of the most powerful 19 country in the world. 20 There were two attorneys who argued that case 21 in front of the United States Supreme Court, and 22 arguing for the Democratic Presidential 23 Candidate, Al Gore, was David Boies. 24 He had put his credibility on the line in 25 arguing the Bush versus Gore case, and without

going into any confidential communications or trying to waive in any way, I knew that David Boies had agreed to represent Virginia Roberts, which gave me additional confidence in the fact that I was also representing this young woman in her effort to bring sex traffickers to justice, and those who had sexually abused her to justice.

And so those are things that come to mind immediately as -- let me just take a second and see if there were other things regarding Dershowitz that -- that come immediately -- immediately to mind.

Oh, one of the things was in the Jane Doe 102 complaint, which alleged academicians that had -- that had abused -- sexually abused Jane Doe 3, there -- there were -- so that raises a question, obviously, of who were the academicians that Bob Josefsberg had identified?

I can't recall, actually. Let me -- the record should be clear, I can't recall immediately whether it was singular or plural. It may have been plural, but if it's singular, I don't want to suggest that there were other academicians, but at least one academician had sexually abused Jane Doe 3, according to the

complaint that had been filed by Bob Josefsberg.

There were two things that were of interest to that: One was that Mr. Epstein, the man that I wasn't able to get information from because he was invoking the Fifth, had refused or declined to file an answer to that complaint.

Rather than deny the allegations, he had, ultimately, it's my understanding -- I don't have inside information and I'm not trying to waive any information, but my understanding is that rather than answer the complaint, he settled the case through the payment of some kind of compensation that Jane Doe 102 found desirable for dropping her claim.

The other thing that I found interesting is that Josefsberg's partner, I believe it is,
Miss Ezell, had been to some of the depositions of, for example, I believe Juan Alessi and
Alfredo Rodriguez. And I believe at least one of those, and perhaps both of those. And she had asked questions about Alan Dershowitz in those depositions, but had not asked questions about other academics in those depositions.

So that led me to conclude that Bob Josefsberg and his outstanding law firm had

1 identified Alan Dershowitz as someone who had 2 information relevant -- and let's be clear, that 3 this is not a lawsuit about some contract dispute or something -- that he was someone who had 4 5 information relevant to the sexual abuse of underage girls and, indeed, they were asking 6 7 questions about what information -- what 8 information he might have. Another -- I know, I remember now, there's a 9 10 whole other line of things that -- that I had in 11 mind at the time, and I think since you want to 12 test my memory -- I'm not -- let me be clear. 13 I'm not claiming I have a superb memory. 14 an average memory, but this is a subject that's 15 very important to me, and so I've worked, you 16 know, very hard to get all the information. 17 I would like to take a break. MR. SCAROLA: Sure. 18 Take a break. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the video 20 record, 3:27 p.m. 21 (Thereupon, a recess was taken.) 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the video 23 record, 3:41 p.m. 24 THE WITNESS: I want to continue my answer. 25 I'm sorry. I got emotional there for a moment.

I want to do a good job for Virginia Roberts on -- on representing all the -- the evidence that is available to support her.

The next thing that I was thinking of was, all right, then the question is: Well, what does Mr. Dershowitz have to say about all this? So I started to look at the information on that as well.

In 2009, there had been a deposition request sent to Mr. Dershowitz, and I -- I saw a document showing that that had actually been served on -- on him, and, you know, to the extent that what I saw was a -- I think a receipt from the process server, or something along those lines, so I saw attempt to contact him in -- in 2009.

And then I saw an additional attempt to contact him in 2011. Mr. Scarola had sent him a note and there was, you know, some back and forth. The -- the one note that -- that jumped out to me was one in which Mr. Scarola had written to Mr. Dershowitz, I think the phrase was: Multiple witnesses have placed you in the presence of Jeffrey Epstein and underage girls; I would like to depose you about those subjects.

And the answer that came back was not, well,

let me clear all of that misunderstanding up. You know, that's -- frankly, if I had gotten something like that, that's what I would have said.

The answer that came back was -- from Mr. Dershowitz was something along the lines of, if I remember correctly, well, tell me what you -- you -- tell me what you want to know and I'll decide whether to cooperate, was I think the phrase that was used. And -- and so there was an attempt, you know, a 2009 attempt, a 2011 attempt to get information from Mr. Dershowitz.

Then there was another subpoena without deposition for -- for documents. You know, we have heard a lot about records in this case that could prove innocence. There was a records request to Mr. Dershowitz in 2013. And, again, my understanding was that there was no -- you know, no documents were provided on that.

And so those -- I had that information.

Another bit of information that I had was that in 2011, I believe in early April -- this is not attorney/client privileged information from Virginia Roberts. This is a telephone call that she placed from Australia where she had been

essentially forced into hiding by Jeffrey
Epstein. She managed to escape and was hiding
out in -- in Australia, and that she would -that somehow, you know, Mr. Scarola and
Mr. Edwards were able to reach her and there was
a telephone call that was made.

And in that telephone call she identified Alan Dershowitz as someone who would have relevant information about Jeffrey Epstein and the sexual abuse of underage girls.

And so I had that information as well. So that, as I understand, the question was: What could I recall off the top of my head with regard to the factual basis for information connecting Mr. Dershowitz with the sexual abuse of minor girls, plural, and that, sitting here at this moment, is the best that I can recall for the information along those lines.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q. Was that answer --

MR. SCAROLA: Excuse me. Before -- before you go on to another subject, Professor Cassell is entitled to refresh his recollection to give you a complete response. So why don't you go ahead and do that now. Make sure you've covered

1	everything.
2	MR. SIMPSON: I'm I think I get to ask the
3	questions, but I was going to ask the same
4	question.
5	MR. SCAROLA: Wonderful. We are on the same
6	page.
7	BY MR. SIMPSON:
8	Q. Mr. Cassell, you you mentioned that you
9	had something that you had prepared
10	A. Yes.
11	Q that would summarize
12	A. Right.
13	Q your knowledge.
14	A. Right.
15	Q. And now that you have exhausted your
16	recollection, could you produce that and let's just mark
17	it
18	A. Yeah, sure.
19	Q as an exhibit?
20	MR. SIMPSON: We are up to Exhibit 3, I
21	believe. Cassell 3.
22	THE WITNESS: Right. Now, there there are
23	two parts to this
24	MR. SIMPSON: Can we mark it first and
25	then

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I just want the record to be clear, that I'm only looking -- there's --2 3 there's a pre-December 30th section and a post-December 30th section, so the top part is 4 5 the -- is what I was working off of. BY MR. SIMPSON: 6 7 Q. Okay. 8 Α. Now, underneath this is -- you know, if you 9 have questions about what happened after December 30th. 10 Q. So you're -- you're prepared to produce the 11 entire document, but you're clarifying? I don't -- I 12 don't want to ask you -- if you're going to use it in 13 your testimony, then we will mark the whole thing. 14 MR. SCAROLA: Mark the whole thing. You can 15 use it. Mark the whole thing and I'll 16 MR. SIMPSON: 17 ask you about it. That would be great. 18 THE WITNESS: 19 Absolutely. 20 MR. SIMPSON: All right. I'm going to ask 21 the court reporter to mark as Cassell Exhibit 3, a one-page document that the witness has just 22 23 handed to me. It's mostly typed. It has some 24 handwriting on it. (Cassell I.D. Exhibit No. 3 - one-page 25

document produced by the witness was marked for 1 2 identification.) THE WITNESS: All right. So let me -- if I 3 could look at this to see if it -- the top 4 5 portion of it to see if it refreshes my 6 recollection about --7 BY MR. SIMPSON: Q. 8 Could I just see it for one second? 9 Α. Sure. Absolutely. 10 Q. All right. Yeah. Let me just clarify one 11 point before you do that. 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 In your answer, were you referring to the Q. evidence you could recall or the information you could 14 15 recall that supported your allegations as to both 16 Virginia Roberts and other minors, or were you treating 17 those separately? No, I was not treating those separately. 18 Ι 19 was -- for me, there's a common -- what -- what the law 20 refers to as a common scheme or plan in a --21 Q. Okay. 22 -- a criminal conspiracy for international 23 trafficking that involved not just a single girl, but 24 multiple girls. So the answer was -- was with respect to -- to multiple girls. 25

1 Q. Okay. So I may have some questions to 2 distinguish further between those two --3 Α. Yes. -- but is it fair to say that -- and I 4 5 realize you're going to refresh your recollection, but that you had exhausted your recollection of the basis 6 for the allegation in this Exhibit 2, the motion to join 7 as to both Miss Roberts and other minors? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Okay. So then, now, take a look at that and 11 tell me if there's anything there that refreshes your recollection as to something that you have not yet told 12 13 me about. So this refreshes my recollection. 14 Α. 15 I think I referred to her as Miss Kellen. Sarah Kellen was the first name. 16 17 Nadia Marcinkova. Nadia was the first name 18 Adrianna Mucinska was the full name of those --19 that's the second echelon of the -- of the 20 criminal conspiracy. 21 Oh, this refreshes my recollection that Jeffrey Epstein had answered some questions in the civil 22 23 litigation. He provided, for example, names of -- of 24 some people who were involved, but he took the Fifth when asked -- he took -- he provided names of some 25

people who would have relevant information in the civil cases, but when asked in deposition about Mr. Dershowitz, he took the Fifth.

So I -- I found it significant that for some people, he was willing to answer questions, but with regard to Mr. Dershowitz, he took his -- he invoked his Fifth Amendment right against compelled self-incrimination presumably because revealing what he knew about Mr. Dershowitz would, you know, cause criminal -- criminal charges potentially to be filed against him.

There was a common scheme or plan, and I'll elaborate on that in a moment, but yeah, one of -- so this was another point. I mentioned that -- that there had been three efforts to get information from Mr. Dershowitz by way of a 2009 deposition request, a 2011 deposition request, and further follow-up correspondence from counsel on that, and a 2013 document request all propounded to Mr. Dershowitz that had not gone answered.

Yeah, and this was -- yeah, I'm sorry, this slipped my mind at the time -- but when -- when we saw Mr. Dershowitz not responding to these answers, you know, maybe the mail didn't get delivered to him or something like that. I don't -- I suppose that's, you

know, a theoretical possibility.

But -- but the reason I ruled out that possibility, first, it didn't seem likely; but secondly, there was a pattern of Mr. Epstein's associates evading efforts to get information from them.

And so let me just go back to the earliest instance of that. According to the Chief of Police in the Palm Beach -- of the Palm Beach Police Department, Mr. Dershowitz had said that he would make available Mr. Epstein for questions about the -- the sex, you know, abuse that was going on. And, you know, Mr. Dershowitz had said to the Palm Beach Police Department, yeah, we will make him available; no, we got to reschedule it; you know, and then another time, reschedule, another time. And so there were multiple -- according to the Chief of Police, there had been multiple, you know, requests to interview Mr. Epstein and Mr. Dershowitz had repeatedly said: Oh, yeah, we will schedule that, and then it hadn't happened.

Now, obviously, there could have been a situation there where, you know, an emergency had come up for Mr. Epstein and he wasn't able to make a schedule or something like that. But what I saw was a -- was a pattern of offers to -- to meet and then withdrawals, and that seemed to me to be a deliberately calculated

strategy to sort of stall the investigation to say: Well, we will get you Epstein; oh, we can't meet now; oh, we will get it now -- and then -- and so forth.

And one of the things that I noted from all that was that Mr. Dershowitz, as Mr. Epstein's attorney, never ultimately produced Epstein for a meeting with the Palm Beach Police Department, having made another offer.

Now, obviously, something could have happened there. I mean, I don't -- you know, I don't know what was the communications and so forth, but as an attorney trying to get information and unable to do that, I had to make some reasonable inferences.

And so one of the inferences I began to draw was that this was a stall tactic by Mr. Dershowitz, and in my view, potentially, an unethical one, but I don't -- I don't think we need to get into that in this litigation.

What I saw was a stall tactic going on, and -- and the reason I think it was a stall tactic, as we are sitting here now in, what is it, October of 2015, and Mr. Epstein has never been willing to answer questions about his sexual abuse of these girls.

And this was back in around -- what was it?

I guess it would be 2005, 2006, you know, roughly a decade ago, Mr. Dershowitz was offering to make Epstein

available. And then that never happened, and given the ten-year pattern that -- that developed -- I guess I should go back. I'm sorry. Let me correct my answer.

We should go back to December 30th, 2014. So there -- there appeared to be about an eight-year period of time during which Mr. Epstein had refused to answer any questions about his sexual abuse of girls and yet, Mr. Dershowitz said, oh, it's just a scheduling issue and -- and we will get the Palm Beach Police Department to -- to, you know, to meet and -- and learn all this.

The other thing that I'm -- that I'm seeing here, so now there's -- there's -- Mr. Dershowitz had been involved in concealing Mr. Epstein from the Palm Beach Police Department, but there were others that had done similar sorts of things.

So one of them was a Ghislaine Maxwell. I will just call her Glenn Maxwell. I think that's kind of the nickname I understand she goes by.

So Glenn Maxwell -- remember, she is -- she is the one, you know, I think the record is clear, in -- in -- in litigation that, you know, an allegation has been made that she was the one that -- that brought Virginia Roberts into the -- into the sex trafficking, and was heavily involved with -- you know, on all the -- not all the flights, but on many of the flights with

Jeffrey Epstein where -- where this seemed to be going on and was very close to Epstein, staying at the mansion frequently.

And so she would, obviously, be -- I guess if you have Epstein at the -- the top of the -- you know, the kingpin of the operation, Maxwell would be, you know, a close second or certainly at, you know, the higher echelon.

So, obviously, someone who would have, you know, very significant information about, you know, the sex trafficking, who were the other people that the -- the girls were being trafficked to, what kind of abuse was going on, you know, what kinds of sex toys were being used to abuse them, because I think it was in her room or -- or adjacent to her room that many of these -- these devices were located, and so she would have had very significant information to provide.

And so in connection with the civil cases that some of the girls had filed against Mr. Epstein, her deposition was set, in fact, by my co-counsel, Mr. Edwards, and then there was some haggling over a confidentiality agreement, you know, what are we gonna -- and that had all been worked out, and then she was set for a deposition and finally agreed, you know, to a deposition.

And just shortly, you know, I think a couple of days before that deposition, she canceled. And well, she didn't cancel. Her -- her attorney called to cancel the deposition and represented that Miss Maxwell was outside the United States of America and had no plans to return back to the United States.

And so, at that point, the deposition was -- was not able to go forward. But it turned out that she had not left the United States for an extended period of time. She was spotted later at a wedding of a prominent person in New York.

And so that was Maxwell fitting into this pattern of, you know, Epstein was being told -- you know, the Palm Beach Police Department being told by Dershowitz that Epstein will answer your questions, and then, you know, not -- not getting information, Maxwell evading the deposition.

Jean Luc Brunel was another person who seemed to be very much involved in -- in trafficking the girls, and it was the same situation. A deposition was set to try to get answers, you know, who is involved, which girls are involved, what are their names, what's -- what's going on?

And so Brunel's deposition is set and then he -- he finagles out of it too. I don't recall exactly

what his excuse was, but, you know, evaded the deposition and, in fact, later information came to light he was hiding out in, you know, in the mansion of Epstein while he's claiming he's unavailable for -- for deposition.

So -- so this pattern of Mr. Dershowitz, you know, where there were three attempts to obtain information from him, if that's all I had, I guess that would have been one thing. But what I had was a pattern of people who were implicated in this sex trafficking ring evading questions, you know, quite in violation of court orders and depositions and things -- I shouldn't say court order -- in violation of the deposition notices that were being sent and agreements being made, you know, through counsel.

And then in addition to that, I had this, so why -- why would you think that, you know, there's this sex trafficking, you know, ring going on? It sounds kind of farfetched.

Well -- well, one of the things that I had available to me on December 30th was a photograph that was widely available on the Internet, and that photograph depicted three people.

It depicted Glenn Maxwell, Prince Andrew, and Virginia Roberts, and the -- at the time that it looked

like Virginia Roberts was an underage girl. She was not dressed in formal attire. And Prince Andrew had his arm around her, I think if memory serves, and right next -- smiling in the background is Miss Maxwell, and it appeared that that was a private residence, presumably in London, close to Buckingham Palace where -- where Prince Andrew lived.

And so here was Prince Andrew with this underage girl with Glenn Maxwell, the -- the right-hand girl, if that's the right expression -- I probably should say -- strike that -- right-hand woman of -- of -- of Mr. Epstein -- that were there and somebody had taken the photograph.

Given the surrounding circumstances, I thought perhaps Mr. Epstein had taken the photograph. So that would have shown Virginia Roberts's sexual abuse was not confined just to Florida, not confined to the New York mansion; it would have -- it would have presumably continued into London where one of, you know, the highest, most powerful persons in the governmental structure that -- that exists in England was now involved in -- in sexual abuse.

And so that created grave concern about, how far did this sex trafficking ring reach; what were their connections; what were their abilities to influence, you know, law enforcement agencies in those countries, you know, in England, or law enforcement agencies in this country, through -- through power that, you know, somebody at that level, fifth I think in line to the British Throne, would have, you know, presumably access to levers of power that other people might not -- might not have.

And so that is the -- I believe is the -- the information that I had available to me on December 30th involving not just Virginia Roberts, but the entire sex trafficking organization.

- Q. Okay. And that -- just to clarify again, it exhausts your refreshed recollection as to both the information you were relying on as to the allegations about Virginia Roberts, and as to the allegations about other minors; is that right?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. So I don't have to ask you separately about Roberts?
- A. That's right. No, and I gave you a heads-up, that was going to be a long answer.
 - Q. You made Mr. Dershowitz look like an amateur.

 If I could --
 - MR. SCAROLA: I'm sorry. Like a what?
 - MR. SIMPSON: Amateur, at the long answers.

1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
2	BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA
3	CASE NO. CACE 15-000072
4	
5	BRADLEY J. EDWARDS and PAUL G. CASSELL,
6	Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants,
7	
8	VS.
9	ALAN M. DERSHOWITZ,
10	Defendant (Countries) sin Disintiff
11	Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.
12	
13	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15	PAUL G. CASSELL
16	TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT
17	VOLUME II, PAGES 152 to 335
18	
19	
20	Saturday, October 17, 2015
21	8:32 a.m 12:14 p.m.
22	125 North Androva Avenue
23	425 North Andrews Avenue Suite 2
24	Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
25	Theresa Tomaselli, RMR

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS (954) 331-4400

1 perfectly clear. BY MR. SIMPSON: 2 My question, Mr. Cassell, is: You reviewed 3 Q. the flight logs, correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 Q. You reviewed them in some detail, correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Is there any entry on those flight lines --Q. logs that you read as putting Professor Dershowitz and 9 10 Miss Roberts on the same plane? 11 Α. No. And so your testimony about questions about 12 Q. 13 the completeness and accuracy of those flight logs goes 14 to whether the logs are -- let me rephrase that. 15 The answer that you gave about your question 16 as -- your views as to the completeness of the flight 17 logs and whether they may have been changed in some ways, goes to whether those logs are conclusive, not 18 19 whether they, in fact, support Professor Dershowitz's 20 testimony that he was not on a plane with Virginia 21 Roberts? 22 I'm going to object to the form MR. SCAROLA: 23 of the question as vague and ambiguous. I don't 24 understand it. 25 THE WITNESS: And I won't give a long answer,

1 but I -- I think, as I previously indicated, you can't just look at the face of these documents 2 without -- with -- you know, against the context 3 4 of an international sex trafficking ring that's trying to cover up what it's doing. You can't 5 6 just look and documents and assume that they are 7 100 percent accurate without that -- having that 8 context in mind. BY MR. SIMPSON: 9 10 And so am I right, that on the face of the Q. 11 flight logs, there's nothing showing Virginia Roberts and Professor Dershowitz on the same plane? 12 13 That's correct. Α. 14 Q. And -- go on. 15 And so do I understand correctly that your 16 position is that the flight logs may not be complete or may have been changed, but you do not dispute, that on 17 their face, they support Professor Dershowitz's 18 19 testimony? 20 MR. SCAROLA: Objection. 21 MS. McCAWLEY: Objection. 22 MR. SCAROLA: Compound. 23 THE WITNESS: Could you just aggregate that? 24 BY MR. SIMPSON:

You follow the objections very well.

25

Q.